

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Public Health Service

National Institutes of Health

Refer: PPB-34  
February 1972

\$100,000 REWARD OFFER -- A GIMMICK

For years a reward offer has been circulated by opponents of fluoridation. The fact that the reward has not been collected has been used by them as substantiating their claims. A flyer has appeared in which the reward has been increased. The offer has been very carefully worded. For example:

(1) The wording asks proof that fluoridation "will cause no future body harms." This would require proof of events which will take place in the future, which is impossible.

(2) The wording asks that, using PHS recommended fluoride levels (approx. 1 ppm), proof be given that "poisonous" fluorides are safe. Fluorides at PHS recommended levels are not poisonous, and proof of effectiveness and safety at such levels would be irrelevant to use at the much higher levels at which fluoride could be termed "poisonous."

(3) The reward offer is ambiguous, with no indication of what would be considered a "controlled" experiment, what proof would be considered acceptable, or who would make the decision as to whether the proof was acceptable.

(4) The flyer requires the posting of a bond by anyone attempting to collect the reward to cover any costs which the offerors of the reward might incur if the proof is deemed invalid; this condition would be extremely difficult to comply with, for the amount of such possible costs would appear to be impossible to determine in advance. Moreover, in view of the difficulties and ambiguities in the nature and wording of the offer which are pointed out above, a person seeking to collect the reward could easily be placed in an impossible economic position.

(5) Posting of the bond, above, could make payment of the reward unenforceable, because the entire offer might be considered a wager, and the courts will not enforce the collection of a gambling debt.

The reward serves to confuse and deter action on a proven public health measure. The fact is that the drinking of fluoridated water adjusted to Public Health Service recommended levels has been demonstrated for more than 27 years and no bad or harmful effects have ever been clinically substantiated.

Division of Dental Health  
Preventive Practices Branch  
9000 Rockville Pike  
Bethesda, Maryland 20014